

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan(9501513137)**

H.No.7, G.K.Vihar,  
Manakwal, Ludhiana

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb.,  
Chandigarh

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 374 of 2021**  
**Heard through CISCO WEBEX**

**Present:** (i) Complainant not present.  
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Gurbax Singh, APIO

**ORDER**

1. This is with reference to earlier order dated 14.9.2021 vide which complainant stated that information in connection with point no. 4 was only pending and respondent PIO was advised to furnish the pending information within one week. The matter was adjourned for hearing to 17.03.2022 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, the complainant is not present.
3. Respondent, Sh. Gurbax Singh states that reply with regard to pending information (point no. 4) was sent to the complainant on 14.10.2021. A copy of the same is sent to the Commission via an email dated 01.11.2021, which is received by the undersigned Bench and taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that no deficiency has been pointed out by the complainant in the supplied reply dated 14.10.2021, which is presumed that complainant is satisfied with the supplied reply and has nothing to say in this regard. The complainant failed to avail the opportunity to represent this case today.

The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31). We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

5. In view of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant Complaint Case is **disposed of & closed**. Copies of order be sent to the parties

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)**

**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

**Dated: 17.03.2022 (11:00 A.M.)**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100**

**Email: - [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan(9501513137)**

H.No.7, G.K.Vihar,  
Manakwal, Ludhiana

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Regional Transport Authority,  
Faridkot

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 375 of 2021**

**Heard through CISCO WEBEX**

**Present:**

- (i) Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan, complainant- not present.
- (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Sanjeev Kumar (JA) (9658805602)

**ORDER**

1. This is with reference to earlier order dated 14.09.2021 vide which respondent PIO was directed to send a fresh reply to the complainant within one week. The case was adjourned for hearing on 17.3.2022 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, the complainant is not present and from the respondent department Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, JA is present.
3. Respondent, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar states that reply as per previous orders of the Commission dated 14.09.2021 was sent to the complainant on 15.09.2021. A copy of the same is sent to the Commission via an email dated 15.09.2021, which is received by the undersigned Bench and taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that no deficiency has been pointed out by the complainant in the supplied reply dated 15.09.2021, which is presumed that complainant is satisfied with the supplied reply and has nothing to say in this regard. Respondent PIO is advised again to send the same reply to the complainant through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
5. The complainant failed to avail the opportunity to represent this case today. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-  
(31). We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).
6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.
7. In view of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant Complaint Case is **disposed of & closed**. Copies of order be sent to the parties

**Dated: 17.03.2022 (11:00 A.M.)**

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



Appellant

**Sh. Jasbir Singh** (9888296107)  
Guru Nanak Nagar,  
Vill. Bolapur, Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh  
District Ludhiana 141123.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/O Secy. Regional Transport Authority,  
Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/O Secy. Regional Transport Authority,  
Sangrur.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 1334 of 2021**  
**Heard through CISCO WEBEX**

**Present:** (i) Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant  
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Gurcharan Singh (Clerk, M: 9878810068).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with the reference with previous order dated 14.09.2021 vide which respondent PIO was directed to supply the information from 01.10.2019 to 31.12.2019 (October, November and December 2019) within fifteen days after receipt of this order. Appellant was advised to point out deficiency, if any, in writing to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission within ten days after receipt of the information. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 17.03.2022 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, appellant states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and requests to close this case.
3. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the appellant, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.03.2022 (11:00 A.M.)**

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**